Outline

Young Economist's Seminar

Long- and Short-run Determinants of Original Sinners Sovereign Spreads

> Marina Tkalec Miroslav Verbic Maruska Vizek

Institute of Economics, Zagreb University of Ljubljana June 12, 2013



- Motivation
 - Sovereign spread determinants
 - What about the short-run?
- 2 Contributions
- Model
 - Literature
 - Theoretical model
 - Empirical model
- Findings
 - Aims and data
 - Descriptive
 - Estimation
 - Results
 - Robustness
- Conclusion



Sovereign spread determinants

Outline

The usual **sovereign spread** drivers are fundamental macroeconomic conditions (Edwards, 1984) such as:

- Relative size of the debt itself
- Overall wealth
- Current account deficit or surplus
- International reserves

What about the short-run?

It is common that the long-run relationship breaks in the short-run, especially in turbulent times.

Lehman Brothers collapse

- Sovereign spreads raised swiftly
- Macroeconomic indicators stayed unchanged
- There are factors that are unaccounted for in previous research

Short-run determinants

- Balance sheet effects (Berganza et al., 2004)
- Market volatility
- Tax revenues



Outline Motivation Contributions Model Findings Conclusion

Contributions

Balance sheet effect

- The balance sheet effect is a short-run sovereign spread determinant
- Differentiation between the short- and the long-run
- Short-run deviations from equilibrium, and gradual adjustment in the long-run

Country heterogeneity

Latest financial crisis

Sovereign spread volatility observed in the last few years

Additional observations

Croatia, Serbia, and Turkey



Literature

Outline

We combine **three different strands** of the existing research to explain sovereign spread dynamics in the countries that suffer from the original sin: an inability to issue debt in local currency (Eichengreen at el., 2003).

- Base model: small open economy model by Cespedes et al. (2000) and Gertler et al. (2007)
 Suplementary concepts:
- Collateral value concept from Kiyotaki and Moore (1997)
- Balance sheet effect empirical findings from Berganza et al. (2004)



Theoretical model

Outline

- Sovereign spreads are a function of the probability of **default**, measured by the indicators of liquidity and solvency (Edwards 1984, 1986)
- Macroeconomic variables reflect liquidity and solvency, and accordingly, the probability of default
- Usually represented by a linear equation:

$$spread_t = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \beta_{jt} x_{jt} + \epsilon_t$$

Theoretical model

Outline

 Since we explore emerging markets and their sovereign spreads, we will use a small open economy that is externally indebted as our baseline model (Ferrucci, 2003)

$$\text{Max } U_0 = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(C_t)$$

s.t.

$$G_t + rD_t \leqslant T_t + D_{t+1} - D_t$$

 $Y_t = C_t + G_t$
 $T_t = f(Y_t)$

• After rearranging:

 $Y_t = (1+g)Y_{t-1}$

$$D_{t+1}$$
- $D_t \geqslant Y_t$ - C_t - T_t + rD_t

Theoretical model

• The government budget constraint:

$$G_t + rD_t \leqslant T_t + D_{t+1} - D_t$$

• The external constraint:

$$D_{t+1}$$
- $D_t \geqslant Y_t$ - C_t - T_t + rD_t

 Net present values of these two constraints provide us with the fiscal policy and external debt sustainability conditions:

$$(1+\mathsf{r})D_t\leqslant\sum\limits_{i=0}^{\infty}\mathsf{PS}_{t+i}/(1+\mathsf{r})^i$$

$$(1+r)D_t \leqslant \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (C_{t+i} + T_{t+i} - Y_{t+i})/(1+r)^i$$



Empirical model

Outline

- Different solvency indicators can serve as reliable determinants of external debt sustainability (Ferrucci, 2003)
- 'Original sin' (Eichengreen et al., 2003)
- Collateral value concept of Kiyotaki and Moore (1997) suggests that risk premium rises as real net worth falls
- Berganza et al. (2004) explore the relationship between the balance sheet effect and sovereign spreads:

$$1+spread_t=\Psi(\omega_t), \ \Psi'<0$$

Net worth of a country:

$$\omega_t = X_t - D_t R_t$$



Empirical model

• Linear approximation around the mean value of net worth:

$$1+spread_t \approx \Psi(\overline{\omega}) + \Psi'(\omega_t - \overline{\omega})$$

$$\equiv \alpha - \beta \omega_t$$

$$= \alpha - \beta X_t + \beta D_t R_t$$

ullet Empirically test the **sign** and the **size** of eta

Empirical model

• Taking expectations around the last expression we get:

$$spread_t = E_{t-1}spread_t + \beta D_t(R_t - E_{t-1}R_t) + \epsilon_t$$

- We can estimate this equation in case ϵ_t is not correlated with $D_t(R_t$ - $E_{t-1}R_t)$
- After simplification:

$$spread_t = \beta S_t + \gamma spread_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

 We insert this equation into the theoretical model and obtain our baseline model:

$$spread_t = \alpha + \beta S_t + \gamma spread_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^J \delta_{jt} x_{jt} + \epsilon_t$$



Aims and data

- Empirically test a relationship between the spreads and the financial imperfections that appear in the form of 'original sin'
- Investigate a positive relationship between a country's risk premium and the balance sheet effects
- Nine European emerging economies over the 2001-2011 period

utline Motivation Contributions Model **Findings Conclusior**oo oooooo o●oooooo

Descriptive

Balance sheet and sovereign spread movements in the period 2001-2011



Estimation

- Test stationarity of panel variables using five different panel unit root tests
- A dynamic panel can be estimated using different procedures
- We use both pooling and averaging so that we allow intercepts, short-run coefficients and error variance to differ, but we restrict the long-run coefficients to be equal across groups
- Pooled mean group (PMG) by Pesaran et al. (1999)
- Pesaran et al. (1999) start with an autoreggressive distributed lag dynamic panel specification:

$$spread_{it} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{ij} spread_{i,t-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{q} \delta'_{ij} X_{i,t-j} + \mu_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

 This approach provides more flexibility, but also estimation advantages, such as improved efficiency and better performance of the model



Outline

Error-correction model:

$$\Delta \mathsf{spread}_{it} = \phi_i(\mathsf{spread}_{i,t-1} - \theta_i' \mathsf{externaldebt}_{it} - \theta_i' \mathsf{currentaccount}_{it} - \theta_i' \mathsf{reserves}_{it}) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \lambda_{ij}^* \Delta \mathsf{spread}_{i,t-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \delta_{ij}'^* \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathsf{balancesheet}_{i,t-j} \\ \Delta \mathsf{volatilityindex}_{i,t-j} \\ \Delta \mathsf{taxrevenues}_{i,t-j} \end{pmatrix} + \mu_i + \epsilon_{it}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \phi_i &= \text{-} \ 1 + \sum\limits_{j=1}^p \lambda_{ij} \ , \ \ \theta_i = \sum\limits_{j=0}^q \delta_{ij} / \ \big(\text{1-}\sum\limits_k \lambda_{ik} \big), \\ \lambda_{ij}^* &= \text{-} \sum\limits_{m=j+1}^p \lambda_{im} \ , \ \ j = \text{1,2,...,p-1, and} \ \delta_{ij}^* = \text{-} \sum\limits_{m=j+1}^q \delta_{im} \end{split}$$



Results

Panel unit root tests results

Test			p-values			
	Null hypothesis	Alternative hypothesis	Spread	External debt	Current account	International reserves
Im-Pesaran-Shin	All panels contain unit roots	Some panels are stationary	0.994	0.993	0.364	0.998
Fisher	All panels contain unit roots	At least one panel is stationary	0.860	0.847	0.153	0.987
Levin-Lin-Chu	All panels contain unit roots	All panels are stationary	1.000	0.108	0.156	0.843
Breitung	All panels contain unit roots	All panels are stationary	1.000	0.933	0.002	0.671
Hadri	All panels are stationary	Some panels contain unit roots	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000

Results

Baseline estimates

	Model (1)	Model (2)	Model (3)	Model (4)
Speed of adjustment				
	-0.139***	-0.169***	-0.120***	-0.170***
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
Long-run coefficients				
External debt	0.019***	0.020***	0.022***	0.019***
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
Current account	-0.105**	-0.084**	-0.078**	-0.054* [0.058]
	[0.013] -0.033***	[0.013] -0.040***	[0.043] -0.046***	-0.037***
International reserves	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
Short-run coefficients				
Δ balance sheet		0.084***	0.052**	0.065*
		[0.009]	0.754***	0.755***
Δ volatility index			[0.000]	[0.00.0]
			[]	-0.040***
Δ tax revenues				[0.006]
Number of observations	338	326	326	295
Number of countries	9	9	9	9
Log likelihood	-85.1381	-62.5343	23.0836	42.7068
Within R-squared ^a	0.6777	0.7253	0.833	0.8468
Between R-squared	0.1560	0.0147	0.1974	0.5277
Overall R-squared	0.4215	0.4777	0.6637	0.6709
Hausman test	1.67 [0.645]	3.81 [0.283]	1.83 [0.969]	5.49 [0.704]



Results

Speed of adjustment coefficients

	Baseline	Estimated	
	model	half-life	
Bulgaria	-0.064**	2y	
bulgaria	[0.014]	24	
Croatia	-0.128	_	
Croatia	[0.184]		
Czech Republic	-0.258*	6m	
CZCCII NCPUDIIC	[0.083]	OIII	
Hungary	-0.046*	2y 8m	
i i u i gui y	[0.062]	2 y 0111	
Poland	-0.095***	1y 4m	
olaria	[0.023]	1y 4111	
Romania	-0.131***	1y	
itomania	[0.005]	±γ	
Serbia	-0.213**	7m	
Scrbia	[0.028]	7111	
Slovak Republic	-0.201***	7m	
Slovak (tepublic	[0.000]	7111	
Turkey	-0.397***	4m	
······	[0.004]		

tline Motivation Contributions Model Findings Conclusio

oo oooooo oooooo

Results

Tests on the homogeneity restriction

	Pooled mean group (PMG)	Mean group (MG)	Hausman test	Dynamic fixed effects (DFE)	Hausman test
Speed of adjustment					
.,,,	-0.170***	-0.279***		-0.656***	
	[0.000]	[0.002]		[0.000]	
Long-run coefficients					
External debt	0.019***	0.016***		0.010***	
External debt	[0.000]	[0.002]		[0.000]	
Current account	-0.054*	-0.032	5.49	0.038***	0.04
current account	[0.058]	[0.511]	[0.704]	[0.000]	[0.998
International reserves	-0.037***	-0.030		-0.018*	
international reserves	[0.000]	[0.256]		[0.070]	
Short-run coefficients					
Λ balance sheet	0.065*	0.094		0.002**	
A balance sneet	[0.087]	[0.155]		[0.014]	
Δ volatility index	0.755***	0.759***		0.884***	
A volatility lindex	[0.000]	[0.000]		[0.000]	
Δ tax revenues	-0.040***	-0.038*		-0.023***	
Δ tax revenues	[0.006]	[0.063]		[0.006]	
Number of observations	205	205		205	
	295	295		295	
Number of countries	9	9		9	
Log likelihood	42.7068	64.5318		-184.0232	



Robustness

Robustness checks for the baseline model

	Model (1)	Model (2)	Model (3)	Model (4)
Speed of adjustment				
	-0.170***	-0.152***	-0.207***	-0.164***
	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.002]	[0.000]
Long-run coefficients				
External debt	0.019***	[0.000]	0.018***	[0.000]
	-0.054*	-0.067**	-0.007	-0.059*
Current account	[0.058]	[0.040]	[0.694]	[0.053]
International reserves	-0.037***	-0.039***	-0.038***	-0.038**
The state of the s	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]	[0.000]
Short-run coefficients				
Λ halance sheet	0.065*	0.083*	0.103*	
	[0.087]	[0.087]	[0.074]	
∆ volatility index	0.755***	0.750***	0.748***	0.749**
	-0.040***	-0.040***	-0.037**	-0.040**
Δ tax revenues	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.025]	[0.007]
Δ export		-0.000		
асхроте		[0.864]		
Δ external debt			0.001	
			[0.707]	-0.002
Δ external debt*inflation				[0.148]
Number of observations	295	295	295	295
Number of countries	9	9	9	9
Log likelihood	42.7068	50.9645	50.7357	42.0037
Within R-squared ^b Between R-squared	0.8468 0.5277	0.8665	0.8731 0.1514	0.8462
Between K-squared Overall R-squared	0.5277	0.0304	0.1514	0.0008
	5.49	1.42	7.70	3.29
Hausman test	[0.704]	[0.700]	[0.565]	[0.915]



Outline Motivation Contributions Model Findings **Conclusion**

Conclusion

- There exists a strong positive relationship between the spreads and the balance sheet effects in the short-run
- Market volatility and tax revenues also affect the sovereign spreads in the short run
- On average, half of this deviation from long-run equilibrium is corrected in eight months
- External factors, either market related (such as market volatility) or created by the financial imperfections manifested as the inability to issue debt in local currency (balance sheet effects), can be responsible for severe short-run changes in sovereign spreads
- Further research should focus on building a theoretical model of the relation between countries risk premiums and its respective total debt euroization